sleeping bag and enough food for his stay on the island.

People of Little Diomede Island live primarily a subsistence lifestyle, fishing and hunting. The weather is fierce.

Nonetheless, this community has digital, single line service and over half of its access lines are business lines. The community has modems, faxes and touchtone service. TelAlaska understands the critical role of telecommunications and economic development.

Interior Telephone's largest service area is Unalaska.

10It is an island in the Aleutian chain which is only accessible

11by.plane or boat. Unalaska is the largest sea port in the

12United States for volume and value of seafood landed. Thus,

13not surprisingly over half of Unalaska's 2,156 access lines are

14business lines. Unalaska's fishing industry would not have

15developed to this level if Interior Telephone Company had not

16responded to Unalaska's telecommunications need and provided a

17network for the advanced telecommunication services.

Universal service funds are distributed to qualified
19rural telephone companies and used to offset the cost of
20providing modern telecommunication service in high cost rural
21areas of the United States. The Federal Telecommunication Act
22of 1996 provides for subsidies that are specific, predictable,
23and sufficient so that consumers in rural, insular and high
24cost areas have access to telecommunications and information
25services that are reasonably comparable to those services in

<u>R & R COURT REPORTERS</u>

urban areas and that are available at rates that are reasonably comparable to rates charged for similar services in urban areas. This is vitally important in rural Alaska.

The actual cost to provide service, this is explicit,

unseparated costs, is -- for interior service areas is \$150 per

month per access line and \$156 per month for Mukluk's areas.

The residential customers pay 19.85 and 15.20 per month

respectively. However, because of limited calling scope and

the lack of services available locally they make an average of

lo\$60 per month in toll calls. None of these people could afford

lto pay over \$200 a month for telephone service.

Competition does not lower cost. Competition moves

13price towards cost. Competition does not make costs disappear.

14Revenues lost to competition need to be -- needed to cover

15fixed costs can only be made up by raising the price for the

16consumers left on the existing system. The Alaska Telephone

17Association is recognized as a national leader in championing

18the rights of rural consumers in high costs -- consumers to

19high quality telecommunication services. The Alaska Telephone

20Association assisted the Alaska Public Utilities Commission and

21its staff in developing at state telecommunications

22modernization plan which was the first STMP in the nation to be

23approved.

I participated in that discussion. I continue to 25participate in the policy debates at national levels and at the

state level to ensure that rate payers in high cost rural areas receive modern and affordable local exchange telecommunication services. My major concern is for our customers, who may have to pay as much as \$200 a month for service, unless universal service fund is maintained.

If I could make one key point it would be that the 7 problem of delivering telecommunication services to rural areas 8 lies with the inability of the long distance carriers to provide local exchange companies with broadband services, T-1 10and framed relay services in all of the communities of the 11state of Alaska at reasonable and affordable rates. #2advanced network, telemedicine, internet and distance learning #3services cannot progress without these broadband services. 14hope that the APUC and the FCC will do everything within their 15power to ensure that people of the communities we serve will be 16able to enjoy the network we have all worked so hard to build. 17 Thank you, Mr. Rhyner. Commissioner Chong. 18 MS. CHONG: In Washington our goal on universal service 19is to try to get as close as we can to 100 percent telephone 20penetration. It seems to me some of what I've heard on the 21panel today is that there are some cultural forces or factors

MR. RHYNER: Well, it's cultural and it's by location.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

24problem, if you had any thoughts on that?

25

22that contribute to lower subscribership in the bush.

23wondering if there are ways that we can help attack that

We find that in the fishing communities or where there's any industry our penetration is considerably higher. Our penetration levels range from a low of about 48 percent to a high of 100.4 percent, which I would take too long to explain, but it's over 100 percent.

MS. CHONG: If you can achieve 104, that's pretty darn good.

MR. RHYNER: Yeah.

MS. CHONG: Now, you're talking about a lack of lobroadband facilities. What policies do you think the joint loard of the Commission could put into place that would help loromote more broadband availability?

MR. RHYNER: I think quality of service standards that 14you were asking about before. I think standards for the 15availability of certain amounts of band width, setting those 16kind of standards.

MS. CHONG: Do you think we should establish a broader 18core service definition than voice grade, touchtone, single 19party service, access to emergency and operator services? It 20seems to be you're suggesting yes?

- MR. RHYNER: Yes, I do.
- 22 MS. CHONG: And do you....
- MR. RHYNER: I believe -- as Mr. Conn referred to and I 24believe Mr. Boucher will, I believe that those communities out 25there need access to internet services and stuff to be part of

the national and global community. And it is extremely expensive to travel back and forth between the urban and rural communities. MS. CHONG: Have you had requests from educational or health care organizations for advanced broadband service that you could not service because you could not get this broadband infrastructure? MR. RHYNER: Yes. Yes, we have ongoing. MS. CHONG: Thank you. 10 MR. MAY: If I might, I'll move on to our next 12 former legislator with an active involvement in 13telecommunication issues, now President of Revolution and a 14self-described opportunity maker, Red Boucher. 15 MR. BOUCHER: Commissioner, welcome. And I cruised 16your home page last night so I'm going to direct myself to just 17exactly what you had to say. First of all, Alaska is unique. And may I suggest if 19you have not included Alaska in your big picture, Alaska and 20our sister state to the south, Hawaii, that you do so. 21Because, indeed, we have done a lot in telecommunication and 22many of it, I'm speaking from the user point of view has been 23user driven. In fact, Mark Badger's here, I'll get to you 24folks who have sent me E-Mail this morning because that's the 25first thing I did when I woke up and I've been doing that for

<u>R & R COURT REPORTERS</u>

1 19 years. The difference between today, I have 2200 megahertz
2 Mac and if I don't get it on that I get it wirelessly or
3 infrared on this. So computercating, a word I coined, the
4 connectivity of communication via digital methods has
5 revolutionized everything.

Let me, once again repeat, there is a golden

opportunity for FCC and this is why Ted Stevens had you here,

to take a look at what we're doing. Fourteen years ago we

sestablished a network in rural Alaska. This is a story in

10Softtalk that tells how that network was established. We used

11-- the first Apple, I think they were the first 2,000 out of

12the San Jose garage, we used a serial number 1,000 or less in

13haze bod modem, we put them out in the village and let them use

14it at night. They exchanged information on basketball scores,

15on caribou on the entire thing and nobody had to go out there

16and apply a technological solution.

I've got sufficient copies for both the Commission and 18for you. I'd like to have this entered in the record and the 19thing to be said is not what I did, was the opportunity I 20created for people who are the ancestors of the people who 21crossed the Asian bridge who found this new world. There is a 22tremendous amount of intuitive culture out there and, yes, we 23should be tapping it.

In fact, on this Board, there is not a rural Alaskan.

25I would suggest to Commissioner Cotten that you convene a panel

that consists of a broad cross section of rural Alaskans, get their input. There are web sites out there -- Lance can put a web site up, I'm committing you to that, Commissioner Chong, you could come in and take a look at at each evening and see what we're doing on it. I could go on and on and on.

Somebody's going to tell me tomorrow that they just invented another gee whiz, it's a modem and Boucher, you can stick your finger in it, hold your breath and it will transport you to Washington, D.C. You know what I would say to that, next

7 7 Technology can do the things and they have the people 12here to do it. But there is also a lot of ingrained intuitive 13brains in the state, you ought to tap into that. Then finally 14one thing I'd say, on your first page, second paragraph, 15Commissioner Chong, 36 is an advocate of simple, pragmatic 16regulation. We need to take a look at our entire regulatory 17system and see that it's ready for the wireless world. 48incidentally, I connected at almost 105 KB this morning via $1\!\!19$ wireless to my internet provider who piped me to the south 48. 20Wireless is going to be a part of the -- a major part of the 2lanswer and I think there is some unique applications in rural And I'm working with the local telephone company who 23has a lot of imagination, ATU, in seeing what can be done for 24wireless for that last mile or connect areas, both in America 25and Alaska that might otherwise not be connected because of

economic reasons.

Thank you for the time. And I hope I didn't exceed my 10 minutes.

MR. MAY: Thank you, Mr. Boucher, you were becomingly brief. Commissioner Chong.

MS. CHONG: Thank you. I strongly agree with you,

Mr. Boucher, that wireless is a very important answer in the

future. I have been a strong advocate of wireless systems that

the Commission, having been brought up in the wireless

loindustry, I used to represent cellular companies and paging

loompanies in my prior life as a communications lawyer in

2California.

I wanted to ask you -- you gave me a nice segway for a 14wireless question. What role do you think wireless could play 15in bringing communications to the bush? It seems that in very 16remote locations wireless might be able to bring communication 17service to people out there in a more cost efficient manner. 18Is it a viable alternative?

MR. BOUCHER: Yes. Spread spectrum technology which 20I'm sure you are familiar with. One of the things I would 21suggest and I suggested to Ted Stevens that maybe we pump that 22power up just a little bit above one watt and call it the 23Alaska Experiment. I think that wireless with wired definitely 24offers a solution.

In other words, a company that's now doing a pilot test

<u>R & R COURT REPORTERS</u>

25

program, in one afternoon communicated three points at a third of the cost that hadn't been communicated with, so definitely it is -- it's merging, it's coming on fast. Pretty soon the people will be writing articles about it. But Ted Stevens has an insight into that and obviously you do, it's a part of the future.

MS. CHONG: I wanted to just mention on the wireless point, that we have, in the spring, I believe it was, put out a petition that we call the Apple Winform Petition.

10 MR. BOUCHER: I saw that.

MS. CHONG: It suggests that the Commission allocate 12some spectrum, very high in the gigahertz area that we would 13allow wireless devices to be produced on an unlicensed basis 14for use in limited areas such as schools, campuses or libraries 15so that people could communicate wirelessly exchanging data, E-16Mail or pulling down information and you would not have to be a 17licensed user. We have put that out for comment, I encourage 18anyone who's interested to file, even if it's late and you can 19pull up information about it on the FCC home page, the key word 20would be Apple Winform Petition.

MR. BOUCHER: Commissioner, may I comment on that? One 22of the problems with the Apple Winform is it's in a relatively 23narrow distance.

24 · MS. CHONG: Yes, that's right.

MR. BOUCHER: I mean less than a mile or so, building

to building. I think if you see some of -- if we had a server
here put up an antenna, you can send wireless information in to
any schools, but you need greater distance than that proposes.

MS. CHONG: I understand that is a concern. One reason we had to put a fairly limited distance on that particular one is that there was some dangers of interference with some satellite existing uses. However, we are sensitive to it and if there's a technological way we could get around it or a different place to put it in the spectrum, we are open to losuggestions.

MR. BOUCHER: Yeah, I think there is.

111

- MS. CHONG: Thank you. I think we need to move on 13Mr. May.
- MR. MAY: Thank you, Commissioner Chong. Our final 15panelist is John Lindback, Chief of Staff for Lieutenant 16Governor Fran Ulmer. Lieutenant Governor Ulmer chaired the 17committee that wrote the Alaska 2001 Task Force Report which 18was the assessment of the Alaska telephone industry released 19earlier this year. Mr. Lindback.
- 20 MR. LINDBACK: Thank you very much. First I'd like to 21thank the Public Utilities Commission for inviting us to be 22here today. I'd like to not thank the Public Utilities 23Commission for having me follow Red Boucher. But anyway, I'll 24do the best I can.
- MS. CHONG: Use a lot of colorful words.

MR. LINDBACK: The reason that you have a Lieutenant
Governor office representative on this panel is that Lieutenant
Governor Ulmer chairs the Telecommunications Information
Council. And thanks to the foresight of Red Boucher, when he
was in the legislature a law was passed that created this
council. It is an intergovernmental agency consisting of
representatives, the commissioners or their designees of each
state department. And that includes the University of Alaska,
the Alaska Court System, the Legislative Affairs Agency and two
lolegislators, one from the house and one from the senate.

Both Lieutenant Governor Ulmer and the TIC, as we call

Both Lieutenant Governor Ulmer and the TIC, as we call 2it, have a deep interest in advancement of the state's 3telecommunications infrastructure and welcome the 4Telecommunications Act of 1996. Because our state is facing 15dwindling revenues, it is presumed that state government is 16going to increasingly rely on telecommunications to deliver 17services and information to the people of Alaska. And to that 18end, the Knowles/Ulmer administration, through the TIC, 19initiated in its first year of the administration three 20projects. One was expansion of state information available on 21the internet.

A year ago we unveiled State Home Pages, more and more 23information is being added to the Home Pages every day. I 24think if you compare the amount of state information available 25on Alaska's Home Pages to other states, we would rank right up

there at the top.

MS. CHONG: About how many hits do you get a day?

MR. LINDBACK: More than 100,000.

MS. CHONG: Wow, terrific.

MR. LINDBACK: Yeah. Our second project was video conferencing. We started out in a modest fashion installing five units for use by state government, with the goal of reducing travel cost and to increasing public access to state government.

10 The third project was saving the state's television 12technology to continue rural television service. The Alaska 13Legislature decided it did not have the money to continue to 14 subsidize the service to the level that it had been subsidized, ${1\over 4}$ 5cut the budget drastically, handed us at the time what we #6thought was a lemon and largely through the efforts of Mark 17Badger, at the Division of Information Services he made 18lemonade through the use of digital compression technology and 19we were able to serve the only ubicudous communication system 20in the state, there's truly statewide. Which is very important 21to state government because for emergency services, in 22particular, that that communication service be maintained. 23 Currently, the current project for this year is to

R & R COURT REPORTERS

24develop for the first time a state telecommunications and

25information technology plan. Several months ago, the

```
1 Lieutenant Governor appointed eight task forces consisting of
2 mostly state government personnel to provide input on what they
3 thought the elements of a plan should be. The TIC executive
 committee is serving as the steering committee for this plan
 and the draft of the plan is due for release on September 11th
  when the Lieutenant Governor is scheduled to speak to the East
 Anchorage Rotary. That will -- we will then embark on more
 than a month of public comment, including extensive public
 hearings around the state and hearings via the internet.
10
          It is our hope that this plan will for the first time
11provide a state government road map because we view ourselves
12as the anchor tenant or an anchor tenant for the
13telecommunications provider in the state. A state road map of
14exactly what the state intends to do in regards to services and
15the kinds of services that we want to use via
16telecommunications. I must say that at this point our hopes
17 Thave been frustrated by telecommunication infrastructure that
18is not adequate in order to deliver all of those services.
          I think the comments, in particular, that struck home
20with me earlier were the comments about long distance carriers
21inability to furnish the broadband services to the rural areas
22of the state.
233000
24
          (Tape changed)
25Tape 2
```

1 0050

We are finding that a barrier to delivering those kinds of services and information that we would like to deliver to rural Alaska.

Of all the states that you have visited, Commissioner,
Alaskans have the most to gain and the most to lose from the
universal service section of this Act. We don't have the high
band with backbone that you would find in other large and
ceemingly rural states like, I guest Wyoming or Montana. Our
lostate is rural like no other state is rural and our
ltelecommunications infrastructure in some parts of the state is
laperceived to be third world in nature, whether or not that is a
lafact because of the gaps in the infrastructure.

Let me give you some statistics that illustrate our 15ruralness. We have 326 year-round communities in Alaska, 232 16of those communities are not accessible by highways. 232 17communities in Alaska have a population of less than 500. 18Another 50 communities have a population of 500 to 1,000. Only 1940 communities have more than 1,000 people. When people talk 20about we're developing into a society of have and have not's 21with regards to telecommunications and access, we're already 22there. We have a society of have and have not's.

If government doesn't follow through on the universal 24service portions of this Act, the vast majority of Alaska 25communities will be left in our third world and left out of

this information age. We have a lot of hope here with regards to this. It's a means of touching the outside world, like no other that we have seen.

As I mentioned earlier, the TIC is developing a telecommunications plan for state government. We'll be releasing the draft plan in September. A consistent theme throughout the discussions of the planning process was the third world infrastructure and the need for better broadband services. I'd like to just give you a hint in closing of some 10of the access language that is being batted about for this liplan.

- And with that, I'll close and let you ask questions if 21you have any.
- MS. CHONG: First I want to congratulate you on having 23this plan. I sure hope you'll send me one when it's available 24in September.
- MR. LINDBACK: We'll get it to you.

R & R COURT REPORTERS

64

MS. CHONG: Thank you. You have a unique view and I'd like to know, particularly, from a government point of view what telecomm services you think are key to your efforts in terms of basic infrastructure? You mentioned a lack of broadband facilities, is there anything else particular that you would seek for needs especially such as getting government information to your citizens or educational or health care issue?

MR.-LINDBACK: Well, because I'm not a technician, I'll lotalk in terms of the kinds of services that we think are going lito be necessary to deliver.

- MS. CHONG: That's fine.
- MR. LINDBACK: We think telemedicine is extremely

 14important, particularly, in rural Alaska where there is -- they

 15are very separated from medical services. It could be a huge

 16boom to health care. We think education services of all kinds,

 17the internet and the delivery of educational programming versus

 18-- via satellites is vital to a strong educational system in

 19rural Alaska.
- We'd like to deliver everything that we could via 21telecommunications and information. Internet access to rural 22Alaska by the average rural citizen could be an enormous boom 23for us.
- MS. CHONG: I just wanted to make one last comment, 25which is I know that historically sometimes state and federal

telecomm policy have somewhat been at odds with each other.

And I wanted to signal to everybody here today that it is the
Commission's intent that these lines begin to blur. Because
communications is critically important to our economy, to our
society as a way of getting information to people and to make
them better citizens and a stronger society. And so we would
like to have increased state and federal cooperation, I'll
smile right now at the APUC Commissioners, because I think we
need to work more closely and understand your issues better.
OAnd also I think you need to -- looking at what the federal
ligovernment is doing and understanding our broader vision for
2all of the state, the role that you may play in that vision

- Thank you very much. And I look forward to getting 15that plan.
- 16 MR. LINDBACK: Okay, great.
- MR. MAY: Thank you. I'd like to thank all of the 18panels for their very informative presentations and also for 19their flexibility in accommodating a tight schedule. Thank 20Commissioner Chong and her staff for their attentiveness and 21Chairman Cotten, I'll turn it over to you.
- CHAIRMAN COTTEN: Well, thank you. Outstanding job on 23your part, Mr. May. And our thanks to the panel members for 24volunteering their time and we all certainly enjoyed and 25benefitted from your perspectives this morning. We'll take

a....

COMMISSIONER COOK: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN COTTEN: Excuse me, Mr. Cook.

COMMISSIONER COOK: I think that -- I don't know if my fellow Commissioners have anything to say at this point but I would like to reiterate some of the things that Mr. Lindback said.

This, as I see it, is really an infrastructure issue. Alaska is absolutely unique out of all of the 50 states. 10 suffer from having probably the poorest infrastructure of any llstate in the union. As Mr. Lindback pointed out, very few of 12our community are on the road system. The vast majority of our 13rural communities are served by air carrier, you can't get 14there except by air, some possibly by boat and as someone 15mentioned, by snow machine. Mr. Conn mentioned that some of **¼6these villages are not going to go away, unfortunately if we** 17don't provide the infrastructure in terms of #8telecommunications, I'm afraid these villages will go away. 19They're going to be passed by, it is absolutely a question of 20haves and have not's. If we aren't able to provide the 21telecommunications infrastructure to these villages, they will 22be beyond third world.

CHAIRMAN COTTEN: Any remarks from the Commission?

24Thank you. And we'll take a -- we're almost on schedule, but

25we will take a 10 minute break and we'll come back in 10

R & R <u>COURT REPORTERS</u>

810 N STREET 277-0572/Fax 274-8982 1007 WEST THIRD AVENUE 272-7515

minutes for our second panel. We're in recess.

(Off record - 10:45 a.m.)

(On record - 11:00 a.m.)

CHAIRMAN COTTEN: Just a quick preliminary, the moderator for the second panel on tele-education and telemedicine is Susan Elliott, who is the information technology librarian from the Alaska State Library. She will introduce her panel and then if there's time -- if time permits and I hope there will be, there are probably several people that should have or could have been a part of this panel and at lleast a couple of people I'm going to try to recognize from the laudience for a question or a brief comment after the panel ladiscussion is completed.

MS. ELLIOTT: Thank you very much, Chairman Cotten.

16I'd like to welcome you to the tele-education and telemedicine

17panel today. In the interest of time I'm not going to

18introduce each of our panels until they speak. So I will do

19that at the time that they are ready to speak. We also will

20have a panelist presenting testimony or presenting his views

At this time I'll give the floor to Susan Elliott.

21from Bethel, Alaska, so he will be doing that via

22teleconference.

14

I also have the privilege of presenting an eight minute 24 section to you today. Commissioner Chong, we're very pleased 25 to have you here in Alaska and I wanted to show you that we do

have different problems than other places in the states, this is an accurate depiction of the area of Alaska.

I came to you to speak to you today about SLED, the Statewide Library Electronic Doorway, which is our public access project here. It is a worldwide web server and it is a free onramp for Alaskans, we started it two years ago. designed it to be very simple, easy, organized information 8 access for all Alaskans, it's about public access. Our Home 9 Page is very simple, it' organized like a library and I want to 10tell you that one important point for us is the partnership 11that made this project. It's the Alaska State Library and 12Rasmussen Library up at the University of Alaska Fairbanks. 13This is one area where we're, very frankly, very concerned 14about the Telecommunication Act and how it's implemented 15because we would not have been able to do this public access #6project without UAF. As a university library they will not be 17eligible for discounted rates under the Act. We need to be 18able to apply discounted rates to these kinds of public access 19projects even if we have partners who can't get discounts. We also are worried somewhat about the reselling 21provisions in the Act for this same reason. Why did we do the 22SLED project. Our first goal was universal service. Our 23mission statement talks about equitable access for all We got into this because it's a very logical 24Alaskans. 25extension of library service. We provide public access to

information no matter what its form. Increasingly, it is available on line and we need to provide access to that. We see ourselves as vehicles for providing universal service. We don't see ourselves as beneficiaries of it as libraries.

SLED was funded with federal technology money from library grants. It is now in the operating budget of the state of Alaska. It is, as the gentleman, talked about, one of those projects and we are hoping to make it much more of an ongoing commitment of state government. Our budget is only 300,000 to 10400,000 a year, but the bulk of that is spent to provide litelecommunications.

Who uses SLED? Certainly the libraries across Alaska
13use it. Alaska citizens can dial-up from their homes, from
14their offices, from their schools and they do. And various
15people come to us all over the worldwide internet. We've had a
16fairly phenomenal growth rate in our two years. You can see
17the plateau on the left-hand side when we became a worldwide
18web server about halfway through, that's also our summer
19plateau when people in Alaska are out doing other things. We
20watch those telenet stats very carefully because that's the
21access that we pay for. If you come to us via the internet
22through your own service provider or your own company or your
23own government, we don't pay for that access. We now have
24about 200,000 hits per month on our web site and that's with a
25population of about 600,000 people in the state.

The library use of SLED, there are 85 public libraries spread across Alaska. There are only 42 communities that have local dial-up access. We pay AT&T Alascom for all the access to SLED in those communities and the map you have in front of you behind Joanne Burna (ph) shows the various places where Alaska net has no's and you can see there are vast areas of the state that have no access. So far in the survey we did last year, 32 libraries made SLED available. We're sure when we get the figures next month that libraries in those 42 communities lowill make it available.

We have some success stories from SLED. We did a small 12zip code survey on line, we have no other way to gather data.

13And users from 110 Alaskan communities last November reported 14that they use SLED, that means some of them are dialing-up long 15distance to do so. People across the state are familiar with 16SLED and use its services. SLED has certainly stimulated 17demand for commercial internet services in the state. And we 18believe that SLED has changed some lives.

We have something on SLED that used to be called Right 20to SLED, it's now the comments button, so people tell us how 21they use it. We have lots of people who have told us they use 22it for medical information. We have lots of people in remote 23areas who tell us that it's their only way to get access. They 24also complain about their bad phone lines. I'd like to read 25just a couple of longer quotes from Right to SLED that won't

fit on a slide.

Here's one from a student in Elfen Cove school down in a very small place in Southeast Alaska. I am a student in a remote small school. Now, that I have found SLED I am very excited. I can look up materials for special projects directly instead of waiting for the weather to clear and mail to come out and come back -- for my book order to go out and then come back. Please keep this service so I can continue to get a good education even in the bush.

Here's another one. I just wanted to thank the library
llsystem for helping Alaskans to remain part of the
letechnologically literate. This has been my first introduction
lato the internet and I now intend to join with one of the local
laproviders for access.

Here's one from Dillingham over in the western part of 16the state. Please, please don't go away. SLED has always had 17better and more consistent access to the other side of the 18universe than any other system we here in Dillingham can access 19without paying outrages toll fees. I have lived here in 20Dillingham for 12 years now. Certainly long enough to begin an 21acquaintanceship with the problems of rural life in Alaska. 22One of the greatest problems we face is isolation. Our 23children must know that there is a world out there. Just 24yesterday I returned a call to the hospital lab manager in 25Dillingham, he had written to SLED and said, please, can we

have a chat area on SLED where medical personnel around the state can talk and have their problems solved and share ideas.

And unfortunately I had to tell him that we also had had that idea, that chat areas would be very good for rural Alaska, we cannot afford the telecommunication cost for those.

There certainly is room for improvement on SLED. We have a 30 minute time limit which is our way of keeping telecomm bills down. But it's extremely unpopular with our users. And you can imagine what trying to download in 30 10minutes with 9,600 watt phone lines will do. We would very 11much like to offer graphical access to users who come in in 12rural Alaska, but with Alaska that's 9,600 watt access in many 13places and sometimes it degrades from that. It's just not 14realistic.

- Like most of you in this audience, we know that text

 16 only access is no longer effective public access. We need high

 17 speed broadband access across Alaska and we need it for public

 18 service as well.
- Our challenges, as always, are funding. Our

 Otelecommunication costs are 70 percent of our budget. That

 Imeans that we, as content providers, cannot do our job. We pay

 by the hour for access to SLED for everyone who dials in in

 sthose 42 communities. So success kills us, we can't advertise

 4SLED because we're too afraid, I mean we're going to run out of

 5our budget in the middle of the year.

As far as the future, libraries certainly have a tradition of public access, we're not going to back away from that. We don't want a free ride. We are willing to pay for access, but it must be affordable. Because our budgets are sometimes set a year or two in advance, we really need predictable flat rate pricing for a universal service. And in Alaska, clearly, we're going to need some universal service help in rural areas. In those areas, often, an entire library will be 10,000 a year or maybe 15,000 a year. They can't 10possibly pay high speed costs at today's rates.

And here is our URL if you'd like to take a look at 12SLED. Thank you, Commissioner.

MS. CHONG: Make sure you leave that one up. You

14talked a little bit about affordability. How would you suggest

15that the Commission set affordability? It's been suggested in

16the record, for example, that we look at poverty indexes and we

17look at consumer price indexes, do you have any particular

18suggestions on what you think affordability might mean for

19Alaskan users?

MS. ELLIOTT: Well, the American Library Association

Thas also recommended that the telerick (ph) pricing structure.

We have not looked at that yet in Alaska to see if that's going

to make sense for us. We don't have enough data yet. We just

are starting to do some projects. We have an NTA grant in

Southeast Alaska where people in the community will share a 56K